A CHIANG-TYPE LAGRANGIAN IN $\mathbb{CP}^2$

ANA CANNAS DA SILVA

Abstract. We analyse a simple Chiang-type lagrangian in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ which is topologically an $\mathbb{RP}^2$ but exhibits a distinguishing behaviour under reduction by one of the toric circle actions, in particular it is a *one-to-one transverse lifting* of a great circle in $\mathbb{CP}^1$.

arXiv:1511.02041v1 [math.SG] 6 Nov 2015

1. Lagrangians in a symplectic reduction scenario

Let (M,ω) be a $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold equipped with a hamiltonian action of a k-dimensional real torus T^k where $k<n$ and corresponding moment map

$$\mu : M \to \mathbb{R}^k \ .$$

We assume that $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a regular value of μ such that the reduced space

$$M_{red} = \mu^{-1}(a)/T^k$$

is a manifold. The latter comes with the so-called *reduced* symplectic form ω_{red} satisfying the equation $\pi^*\omega_{red} = \imath^*\omega$, where $\pi : \mu^{-1}(a) \to M_{red}$ is the point-orbit projection and $\imath : \mu^{-1}(a) \hookrightarrow M$ is the set inclusion:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu^{-1}(a) & \stackrel{\imath}{\hookrightarrow} & M \\ \downarrow \pi & & \\ M_{red} & & \end{array}$$

Let $\ell_1 \subset M_{red}$ be a compact lagrangian submanifold of the reduced space. Then its preimage in M,

$$L_1 := \imath(\pi^{-1}(\ell_1)) \ ,$$

is always a compact lagrangian submanifold of (M,ω), which happens to lie entirely in the level set $\mu^{-1}(a)$.

Motivated by a question of Katrin Wehrheim's related to her joint work with Chris Woodward [WW], we are looking for a reverse picture where – starting from a lagrangian in (M,ω) – we obtain a lagrangian in (M_{red},ω_{red}). For that purpose suppose now that we have a compact lagrangian submanifold $L_2 \subset M$ such that

- $L_2 \pitchfork \mu^{-1}(a)$, that is, L_2 and $\mu^{-1}(a)$ *intersect transversally* and
- $L_2 \cap \mu^{-1}(a) \stackrel{\pi}{\hookrightarrow} M_{red}$, that is, L_2 intersects each T^n-orbit in $\mu^{-1}(a)$ at most once,

so the intersection submanifold $L_2 \cap \mu^{-1}(a)$ injects into the reduced space M_{red} via the point-orbit projection π. In this case we obtain an embedded lagrangian submanifold in the reduced space (M_{red},ω_{red}), namely

$$\ell_2 := \pi(L_2 \cap \mu^{-1}(a)) \ ,$$

and we call L_2 a *one-to-one transverse lifting* of ℓ_2.

Date: July 2015.

In this note we will concentrate on the case where the symplectic manifold is the complex projective plane, $M = \mathbb{CP}^2$, with a scaled Fubini-Study structure so that the total volume is $\frac{\pi^2}{2}$. We regard the circle action where a circle element $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$ $(0 \le \theta < 2\pi)$ acts by

$$[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \longmapsto [z_0 : z_1 : e^{i\theta} z_2]$$

with moment map

$$\mu_2 : \mathbb{CP}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \ , \quad \mu_2[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{|z_2|^2}{|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2}$$

and the chosen level is $a = -\frac{1}{6}$.[1] With these choices we have $n = 2$, $k = 1$ and the reduced space (M_{red}, ω_{red}) is a complex projective line $\mathbb{CP}^1$ with a scaled Fubini-Study form so that the total area is $\frac{2\pi}{3}$.

Pictorially in terms of toric moment polytopes we have for the original space $\mathbb{CP}^2$:



and for the reduced space $\mathbb{CP}^1$:



The reduction is carried out with respect to the moment map μ_2. The other standard hamiltonian circle action with moment map $\mu_1[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{|z_1|^2}{|z_0|^2+|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2}$ descends to the reduced space, where we denote the induced moment map $\mu_{red} : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$.

Section 2 exhibits a non-standard lagrangian embedding of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ into $\mathbb{CP}^2$, which in Section 3 is shown to be a *one-to-one transverse lifting* of a great circle in $\mathbb{CP}^1$.

2. A non-standard lagrangian $\mathbb{RP}^2$ in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ from representation theory

The example at stake is a lagrangian submanifold $\mathcal{L}_2$ of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ which arises as an orbit for an $SU(2)$-action, similar to a lagrangian studied by River Chiang in [Ch]. We first define $\mathcal{L}_2$ explicitly as a subset of $\mathbb{CP}^2$:

$$\mathcal{L}_2 := \left\{ \left[\bar{\alpha}^2 + \bar{\beta}^2 : \sqrt{2}(\bar{\alpha}\beta - \alpha\bar{\beta}) : \alpha^2 + \beta^2 \right] \ | \ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \ , |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \right\} \ .$$

[1] All other levels in the range $-\frac{1}{4} < a < 0$ allow the *one-to-one transverse lifting property*, but this level (that of the so-called *Clifford torus* $\{[1 : e^{i\theta_1} : e^{i\theta_2}]\}$) ensures the monotonicity of ℓ_2; see Remark 3.6.

Lemma 2.1. *The set $\mathcal{L}_2$ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{CP}^2$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{RP}^2$.*

Proof. We view $\mathbb{CP}^2$ as the space of homogeneous complex polynomials of degree 2 in two variables x and y up to scaling,

$$[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad p_{[z_0:z_1:z_2]}(x,y) = z_0 y^2 + z_1\sqrt{2}xy + z_2 x^2 \ ,$$

and let the group $SU(2)$ act as follows. An element

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2) \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1 \ ,$$

acts by the change-of-variables diffeomorphism

$$p(x,y) \quad \longmapsto \quad p\left((x,y)A\right) = p\left(\alpha x - \bar{\beta}y, \beta x + \bar{\alpha}y\right) \ .$$

Then the set $\mathcal{L}_2$ is simply the $SU(2)$-orbit of the polynomial $p_{[1:0:1]}(x,y) = y^2 + x^2$ or, equivalently, of the point $[1:0:1]$.

So $\mathcal{L}_2$ is an $SU(2)$-homogeneous space. Since the stabilizer of $[1:0:1] \in \mathcal{L}_2$ is the subgroup

$$\mathcal{S} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} i\cos\theta & i\sin\theta \\ i\sin\theta & -i\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \mid \theta \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}$$

where the component of the identity is a circle subgroup of $SU(2)$ (hence conjugate to the Hopf subgroup), it follows that $\mathcal{L}_2$ is diffeomorphic to

$$SU(2) \,/\, \mathcal{S} \simeq \mathbb{RP}^2 \ .$$

□

There is a convenient real description of $\mathcal{L}_2$ by defining

$$X := \Re(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \ , \quad Y := \Im(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \quad \text{and} \quad Z := 2\Im(\bar{\alpha}\beta) \ .$$

In these terms we have

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \left\{ \left[X - iY : \sqrt{2}iZ : X + iY \right] \mid X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{R} \ , X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1 \right\} \ ,$$

which also shows that $\mathcal{L}_2 \simeq S^2 / \pm 1 \simeq \mathbb{RP}^2$.

Lemma 2.2. *The submanifold $\mathcal{L}_2$ is lagrangian.*

Proof. The fact that $\mathcal{L}_2$ is isotropic can be checked either directly (computing the vector fields generated by the $SU(2)$ action) or, as done here, analysing a hamiltonian action in the context of representation theory.

The standard hermitian metric on the vector space $V := \mathbb{C}^2$, namely

$$h(z,w) = \bar{z}^T w = \underbrace{\Re(\bar{z}^T w)}_{\substack{\text{euclidean} \\ \text{inner prod.}}} + i \ \underbrace{\Im(\bar{z}^T w)}_{\substack{\text{standard} \\ \text{sympl. str.}}} \ ,$$

induces an hermitian metric on the symmetric power $Sym^2(V^*)$ for which

$$y^2 \ , \quad \sqrt{2}xy \ , \quad x^2$$

is a unitary basis; here x and y (the linear maps extracting the first and second components of a vector in $\mathbb{C}^2$) form the standard unitary basis of V^*. We denote u_0, u_1, u_2 the corresponding coordinates in $Sym^2(V^*)$ and write an element of $Sym^2(V^*)$ as

$$p(x,y) = u_0 y^2 + u_1\sqrt{2}xy + u_2 x^2 \ .$$

W.r.t. these coordinates the symplectic structure on $Sym^2(V^*)$ is the standard structure:

$$\Omega_0 = \tfrac{i}{2}\left(du_0 d\bar{u}_0 + du_1 d\bar{u}_1 + du_2 d\bar{u}_2\right) \ .$$

The diagonal circle action on $Sym^2(V^*)$ is hamiltonian with moment map linearly proportional to $|u_0|^2 + |u_1|^2 + |u_2|^2$ and by symplectic reduction at the level of the unit sphere we obtain the space of homogeneous complex polynomials of degree 2 in two variables x and y up to scaling, $\mathbb{P}\left(Sym^2(V^*)\right) \simeq S^5/S^1 \simeq \mathbb{CP}^2$, with the standard Fubini-Study structure (S^1 acts on S^5 by the Hopf action).

Now the action of $SU(2)$ on $Sym^2(V^*)$ by change-of-variables as above is a *unitary* representation (this is the 3-dimensional irreducible representation of $SU(2)$), hence hamiltonian with moment map[2]

$$\tilde{\mu} : Sym^2(V^*) \longrightarrow su(2)^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$$

defined as follows w.r.t. the real basis

$$X_a \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad X_b \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad X_c \coloneqq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ ,$$

of the Lie algebra $su(2)$ and the unitary basis above of $Sym^2(V^*)$:

$$\tilde{\mu}(u_0,u_1,u_2) = \begin{pmatrix} |u_0|^2 - |u_2|^2 \\ -\sqrt{2}\Re(u_0\bar{u}_1 + u_1\bar{u}_2) \\ -\sqrt{2}\Im(u_0\bar{u}_1 + u_1\bar{u}_2) \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

This $SU(2)$ action commutes with the diagonal S^1 action, hence descends to a hamiltonian action of $SU(2)$ on $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with moment map

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mu}} : \mathbb{CP}^2 \longrightarrow su(2)^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^3 \ , \quad \tilde{\tilde{\mu}}[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] = \begin{pmatrix} |z_0|^2 - |z_2|^2 \\ -\sqrt{2}\Re(z_0\bar{z}_1 + z_1\bar{z}_2) \\ -\sqrt{2}\Im(z_0\bar{z}_1 + z_1\bar{z}_2) \end{pmatrix} \ ,$$

where now z_0, z_1, z_2 are homogeneous coordinates satisfying $|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1$.

The submanifold $\mathcal{L}_2$ is isotropic because it is an $SU(2)$ orbit and sits in the zero-level of the moment map $\tilde{\tilde{\mu}}$ – indeed we have $|z_0|^2 = |z_2|^2$ and $z_0\bar{z}_1 + z_1\bar{z}_2 = 0$ when $z_0 = \bar{z}_2$ and z_1 is imaginary. It follows that $\mathcal{L}_2$ is lagrangian because it is half-dimensional. □

[2]In general a symplectic representation $\rho : G \to Sympl(\mathbb{C}^N, \Omega_0)$ may be viewed as a hamiltonian action with moment map $\tilde{\mu} : \mathbb{C}^N \to \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\tilde{\mu}(z)(X^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \frac{i}{4}(z^*Xz - z^*X^*z)$ where $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $X^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and X the matrix representing the corresponding element in the Lie algebra of $Sympl(\mathbb{C}^N, \Omega_0)$. In the special case where the representation is *unitary*, the formula for the moment map reduces to $\tilde{\mu}(z)(X^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \frac{i}{2}z^*Xz$. In the present case one determines the matrix X for each of the Lie algebra basis elements $X_a, X_b, X_c \in su(2)$.

3. Properties of the lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$

Lemma 3.1. *The submanifold $\mathcal{L}_2$ intersects transversally the moment map level set $\mu_2^{-1}(a)$.*

Proof. We claim that in the interior of the moment polytope the restriction of $d\mu_2$ to $\mathcal{L}_2$ never vanishes. This implies the lemma because the level set $\mu_2^{-1}(a)$ is a codimension one submanifold whose tangent bundle is the kernel of $d\mu_2$.

Using the real description of $\mathcal{L}_2$,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \left\{ \left[X - iY : \sqrt{2}iZ : X + iY \right] \mid X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{R} \, , X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 = 1 \right\} \, ,$$

we parametrize it (in the interior of the moment polytope) via real coordinates X and Y, choosing

$$Z = \sqrt{1 - X^2 - Y^2} \, , \qquad X^2 + Y^2 < 1 \, ,$$

so that that part of $\mathcal{L}_2$ is the set of points

$$\left[\frac{X - iY}{\sqrt{2}i\sqrt{1 - X^2 - Y^2}} : 1 : \frac{X + iY}{\sqrt{2}i\sqrt{1 - X^2 - Y^2}} \right] \, .$$

We evaluate μ_2 in points of the above form:

$$\mu_2\Big[\tfrac{X-iY}{\sqrt{2}i\sqrt{1-X^2-Y^2}} : 1 : \tfrac{X+iY}{\sqrt{2}i\sqrt{1-X^2-Y^2}} \Big] = -\tfrac{1}{2} \tfrac{|X+iY|^2}{|X-iY|^2+2(1-X^2-Y^2)+|X+iY|^2} = -\tfrac{1}{4}(X^2+Y^2) \, .$$

This shows that the differential of μ_2 only vanishes when μ_2 itself vanishes, which never happens in the interior of the moment polytope. □

It is interesting also to discuss the image of $\mathcal{L}_2$ by the full toric moment map (μ_1, μ_2). This image satisfies the equation $\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 = -\frac{1}{2}$ because

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{|z_1|^2 + 2|z_2|^2}{|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad |z_0|^2 = |z_2|^2$$

holds at all points in $\mathcal{L}_2$. Moreover this image is connected and contains the endpoints:

$$\mu_2[1 : 0 : 1] = -\frac{1}{4} \qquad \text{when} \qquad (\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$$

and

$$\mu_2[0 : \sqrt{2}i : 0] = 0 \qquad \text{when} \qquad (\alpha, \beta) = (\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \tfrac{i}{\sqrt{2}}) \, ,$$

hence it follows that the set $\mu(\mathcal{L}_2)$ is the full skewed line segment in boldface depicted below.



Now $\mathcal{L}_2$ is invariant under the following tilted circle action where $e^{i\theta}$ acts by

$$[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \longmapsto [z_0 : e^{i\theta} z_1 : e^{2i\theta} z_2] \ .$$

Indeed, regarding $\theta = 2\nu$, when we replace (α, β) by $(e^{i\nu}\alpha, e^{i\nu}\beta)$ the corresponding points of $\mathcal{L}_2$ change by

$$[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] \longmapsto [e^{-2i\nu} z_0 : z_1 : e^{2i\nu} z_2] = [z_0 : e^{2i\nu} z_1 : e^{4i\nu} z_2] \ .$$

We now use action-angle coordinates $(\theta_1, \mu_1, \theta_2, \mu_2)$ which are valid *in the interior of the moment polytope*. W.r.t. these coordinates the Fubini-Study form is $d\theta_1 \wedge d\mu_1 + d\theta_2 \wedge d\mu_2$. In these terms the discussion above shows that the tangent space at any point $[z_0 : z_1 : z_2]$ of $\mathcal{L}_2$ with all $z_0, z_1, z_2 \neq 0$ contains the tangent vector $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2}$ and is contained in the coisotropic subspace space spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2}$, $2\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_2}$ (this subspace is the kernel of $d\mu_1 + 2d\mu_2 = 0$).

So a generating system of $T\mathcal{L}_2$ may be chosen to be $v_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2}$ and v_2 of the form

$$f\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_1} + g\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_2} + \left(2\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\mu_2}\right)$$

for some real functions f and g (we used the transversality fact "$d\mu_2 \neq 0$ on $\mathcal{L}_2$ in the interior of the moment polytope"; see the proof of Lemma 3.1).

Remark 3.2. *By shuffling the coordinates there are other two similar lagrangian submanifolds whose images under the toric moment map are the other two medians (line segments joining a vertex to the midpoint of the opposing side).*
If we consider lagrangians in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ whose image by the toric moment map is the intersection of the moment polytope with an affine space, there are also the trivial examples given by:

- *interior points (the lagrangians are the action tori),*
- *line segments* not through the vertices *and with specific rational slopes (the lagrangians are the preimages by single-circle reduction of an $\mathbb{RP}^1$ in $\mathbb{CP}^1$, which topologically are tori) and*
- *the full polytope (the lagrangians are the standard $\mathbb{RP}^2$ and its toric rotations).*

Lemma 3.3. *In the interior of the moment polytope, the manifold $\mathcal{L}_2$ intersects at most once each orbit of the second circle action in $\mathbb{CP}^2$.*

Proof. We compare two points in $\mathcal{L}_2$ which map to the interior of the moment polytope, say

$$P = \left[\bar\alpha^2 + \bar\beta^2 : \sqrt{2}(\bar\alpha\beta - \alpha\bar\beta) : \alpha^2 + \beta^2\right]$$

and

$$p = \left[\bar a^2 + \bar b^2 : \sqrt{2}(\bar a b - a\bar b) : a^2 + b^2\right]$$

where $\alpha, \beta, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$, $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ and all homogeneous coordinates of P and p are nonzero.

The goal is to check that such points can never be nontrivially related by the second circle action, that is,

$$\left[\bar a^2 + \bar b^2 : \sqrt{2}(\bar a b - a\bar b) : a^2 + b^2\right] = \left[\bar\alpha^2 + \bar\beta^2 : \sqrt{2}(\bar\alpha\beta - \alpha\bar\beta) : e^{i\theta}(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\right] \quad \stackrel{?}{\Longrightarrow} \quad e^{i\theta} = 1$$

or equivalently

$$\left[1 : \tfrac{\sqrt{2}(\bar{a}b-a\bar{b})}{\bar{a}^2+\bar{b}^2} : \tfrac{a^2+b^2}{\bar{a}^2+\bar{b}^2}\right] = \left[1 : \tfrac{\sqrt{2}(\bar{\alpha}\beta-\alpha\bar{\beta})}{\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{\beta}^2} : e^{i\theta}\cdot\tfrac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{\beta}^2}\right] \qquad \stackrel{?}{\Longrightarrow} \qquad e^{i\theta}=1\ .$$

We equate the coordinates noting that $\bar{a}b-a\bar{b}=2i\Im(\bar{a}b)$ is an imaginary number:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{2}i\Im(\bar{a}b)}{\bar{a}^2+\bar{b}^2} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}i\Im(\bar{\alpha}\beta)}{\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{\beta}^2} \\ \frac{a^2+b^2}{\bar{a}^2+\bar{b}^2} &= e^{i\theta}\cdot\frac{\alpha^2+\beta^2}{\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{\beta}^2}\ . \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, using converse cross-ratios, we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\Im(\bar{a}b)}{\Im(\bar{\alpha}\beta)} &= \frac{\bar{a}^2+\bar{b}^2}{\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{\beta}^2} \\ \frac{a^2+b^2}{\alpha^2+\beta^2} &= e^{i\theta}\cdot\frac{\bar{a}^2+\bar{b}^2}{\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{\beta}^2}\ . \end{cases}$$

The first equation implies that $\rho := \frac{\bar{a}^2+\bar{b}^2}{\bar{\alpha}^2+\bar{\beta}^2}$ is a real number. Since the fraction on the right-hand side of the second equation is ρ and on the left is $\bar{\rho}$, these real numbers must be identical and hence $e^{i\theta}=1$. □

Remark 3.4. *A different proof of Lemma 3.3 was first found by Radivoje Bankovic in* [Ba]. *There he analysed $\mathcal{L}_2$ as a singular fibration over the moment map image tilted segment, within the 2-torus fibers, using our earlier lemmas.*
The result in Lemma 3.3 is interesting in itself since it shows a contrast between the lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ and the standard embedding of $\mathbb{RP}^2$ in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ as the fixed locus of complex conjugation: the standard embedding intersects each orbit of the second circle action twice (in the interior of the moment polytope), namely for each point $[y_0 : y_1 : y_2]$ with $y_0, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ there is also the point $[y_0 : y_1 : -y_2]$ related by the second circle action. Similarly for the first circle action. The lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ has also double intersections with orbits of the first circle action: $[X-iY : \sqrt{2}iZ : X+iY]$ and $[X-iY : -\sqrt{2}iZ : X+iY]$.

Corollary 3.5. *The lagrangian submanifold $\mathcal{L}_2$ is a one-to-one transverse lifting of a great circle ℓ_2 in $\mathbb{CP}^1$.*

Proof. The previous two lemmas show that $\mathcal{L}_2$ is a one-to-one transverse lifting of a compact lagrangian submanifold ℓ_2 in $\mathbb{CP}^1$. In order to see that ℓ_2 is a great circle it is enough to note that it lies in the middle level set of μ_{red}. □

Remark 3.6. *By Corollary 1.2.11 of Biran and Cornea* [BC] *the lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ is monotone, has minimal Maslov index 3 and has $HF_k(\mathcal{L}_2,\mathcal{L}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. These properties all follow directly from the fact that $\mathcal{L}_2$ is hamiltonian isotopic to the standard $\mathbb{RP}^2$ according to Theorem 6.9 of Li and Wu* [LW]. *Having chosen the level $a=-\frac{1}{6}$ we obtain that the corresponding lagrangian ℓ_2 in the reduced space is a great circle, hence also monotone.*

References

[Ba] Bankovic, R., *An Example of a Lagrangian 1-to-1 Transverse Lifting*, ETH MSc thesis 2014.

[BC] Biran, P., Cornea, O., Rigidity and uniruling for Lagrangian submanifolds, *Geom. Topol.* **13** (2009), 2881-2989.

[Ch] Chiang, R., New Lagrangian submanifolds of CP^n, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2004, 2437-2441.

[LW] Li, T.-J., Wu, W., Lagrangian spheres, symplectic surfaces and the symplectic mapping class group, *Geom. Topol.* **16** (2012), 1121-1169.

[WW] Wehrheim, K., Woodward, C. T., Quilted Floer cohomology, *Geom. Topol.* **14** (2010), 833-902.

Department of Mathematics, ETH Zurich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

E-mail address: acannas@math.ethz.ch